

Dynamical renormalization group methods in theory of eternal inflation

Dmitry Podolsky,^{*}

Helsinki Institute of Physics

P.O.Box 64, FIN-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland

Abstract

Dynamics of eternal inflation on the landscape admits description in terms of the Martin-Siggia-Rose (MSR) effective field theory that is in one-to-one correspondence with vacuum dynamics equations. On those sectors of the landscape, where transport properties of the probability measure for eternal inflation are important, renormalization group fixed points of the MSR effective action determine late time behavior of the probability measure. I argue that these RG fixed points may be relevant for the solution of the gauge invariance problem for eternal inflation.

^{*}dmitry.podolsky@helsinki.fi; *URL*: www.nonequilibrium.net

Consider a single field inflation driven by the inflaton field ϕ with potential $V(\phi)$. During one Hubble time $\Delta t \sim H^{-1}$ the value of the inflaton field changes by

$$\Delta\phi \sim \dot{\phi}\Delta t \sim \frac{1}{3H^2} \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} \sim \frac{M_P^2}{8\pi V} \frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} \quad (1)$$

under the action of the classical force $-\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi}$, and superhorizon fluctuations $\delta\phi$ of the inflaton are generated with characteristic amplitude

$$|\delta\phi| \sim \frac{H}{2\pi} \sim \sqrt{\frac{2V}{3\pi M_P^2}}. \quad (2)$$

When

$$|\delta\phi| \gg \Delta\phi, \quad (3)$$

the “quasiclassical” picture of the inflaton slowly rolling towards the minimum of its potential $\phi = \phi_0$, $V'(\phi_0) = 0$ breaks down, and we say that inflation enters eternal selfreproducing regime [1].

The physical picture emerging in this regime can be well understood in stochastic formalism [2, 3]. As we know, the causal structure of eternally inflating Universe can be represented as an infinite set of Hubble patches with values of ϕ and the effective cosmological constant $\frac{V(\phi)}{M_P^2}$ being random, stochastically distributed among the patches. The corresponding probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ (its physical meaning is the probability density to measure a given value of the inflaton in a given Hubble patch) is the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation

$$\frac{\partial P(\phi, t)}{\partial t} = \hat{H}P = \frac{H_0^3}{8\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial\phi^2} + \frac{1}{3H_0} \frac{\partial}{\partial\phi} \left(\frac{\partial V}{\partial\phi} P \right) \quad (4)$$

(for simplicity we consider $V(\phi) = V_0 + \delta V(\phi)$, where $|\delta V(\phi)| \ll V_0$; the Eq. (4) can be relatively easily generalized to the case of arbitrary potential $V(\phi)$ [2]), and IR contributions to the correlation functions of the inflaton ϕ (including arbitrary loop corrections) can be simply calculated [4] by taking integrals

$$\langle \phi^n \rangle = \int d\phi \phi^n P(\phi, t). \quad (5)$$

The general solution of the Eq. (4) is represented as the expansion

$$P(\phi, t) = \sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \psi_n(\phi) e^{-E_n t} \quad (6)$$

over the eigenfunctions of the operator $\hat{H}$. All eigenvalues E_n are non-negative since the “Hamiltonian” $\hat{H}$ is always supersymmetric. Moreover, if the normalization integral

$$\int d\phi e^{-\frac{8\pi^2 \delta V(\phi)}{3H^4}} \quad (7)$$

converges, the lowest eigenvalue of $\hat{H}$ is $E_0 = 0$, so that at $t \rightarrow \infty$ the distribution $P(\phi, t)$ approaches the time independent asymptotics given by

$$P_{\text{asympt}}(\phi) \sim \exp\left(-\frac{8\pi^2\delta V(\phi)}{3H_0^4}\right). \quad (8)$$

This expression is known as the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction of the Universe [5].¹

Discussing physics of eternal inflation, one was usually interested in this time-independent asymptotics because of two reasons: 1) time scale δt_{asympt} necessary for P to reach the asymptotics (8) is rather short in simple inflationary models: for example, for $\delta V(\phi) = \frac{1}{2}m^2\phi^2$ we find $\delta t_{\text{asympt}} \sim E_1^{-1} = \frac{3H_0}{m^2}$, for $\delta V(\phi) = \frac{1}{4}\lambda\phi^4$ — $\delta t_{\text{asympt}} \sim \lambda^{-1/2}H_0^{-1}$, etc., 2) the asymptotic distribution (8) does not depend on initial conditions for eternal inflation, while the contributions of higher eigenstates into the expansion (6) do.

However, in many physically interesting situations such as for eternal inflation on the string theory landscape [7, 8] the time scale δt_{asympt} is extremely long — very roughly one can estimate it as $\delta t_{\text{asympt}} \sim E_1^{-1} \sim N\epsilon_1^{-1}$, where N is the number of vacua on the landscape and ϵ_1 is the eigenvalue corresponding to first excited state in the problem with a single dS vacuum. Also, often the time independent asymptotics (8) may not exist at all.² In such situation, since it is clearly impossible to solve the Fokker-Planck equation (4) analytically for arbitrary $V(\phi)$, one can use various approximation schemes to analyze behavior of the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ at intermediate time scales $M_P^{-1} \ll t \ll E_1^{-1}$. One such scheme is based on the method of dynamical renormalization group analysis [9], and it works especially well when transport properties of the landscape encoded in the Eq. (4) are important, such as for an infinite landscape with effective potential/Hawking-Moss/Coleman-de Luccia tunneling rates not growing at infinity [7, 8].

Very roughly, the idea is the following. Let us consider a n -point correlation function of the inflaton in the limit when all points are taken within a single Hubble patch. It can be represented in the following functional form

$$\langle \phi^n \rangle = \int d\phi \phi^n P(\phi, t) = \int d\phi \phi^n \int \mathcal{D}P \delta\left(\frac{\partial P}{\partial t} - \hat{H}P\right) \quad (9)$$

$$= \int d\phi \phi^n \int \mathcal{D}P \mathcal{D}\bar{P} \exp\left(i \int dt d\phi \bar{P} \left(\partial_t - \hat{H}\right) P\right) \quad (10)$$

since the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ satisfies the Fokker-Planck equation (4). In the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$ (but t sufficiently small so that $|P(\phi, t) - P_{\text{asympt}}(\phi)| \gg P_{\text{asympt}}(\phi)$)

¹It is interesting to note that the Linde-Vilenkin wavefunction of the Universe [6] is *not* a solution of the Eq. (4), so the stochastic formalism allows us to unambiguously resolve the long debate between advocates of the Hartle-Hawking and Linde-Vilenkin wavefunctions.

²This is the case for chaotic inflationary models with potentials $V(\phi) = \lambda_n \phi^n$, when the normalization integral (7) for the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction diverges at small ϕ [2].

only renormalizable terms survive in the “effective action”

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int dt d\phi \bar{P} \left(\partial_t - \hat{H} \right) P, \quad (11)$$

and scaling analysis of the latter allows us to learn many things about long time behavior of correlation functions $\langle \phi^n \rangle$. In particular, it turns out that this behavior is determined by fixed points of the RG flow for the effective action (11). Let me now present two examples of landscapes with RG fixed points. After that I will discuss how RG fixed points for the effective action (11) may be relevant for the resolution of the famous gauge invariance problem for eternal inflation [10].

First, let us consider stochastic inflation in the potential with a weak *disordered* component $V(\phi) = V_0 + \delta V(\phi)$, $|\delta V| \ll V_0$ [7]. In the limit $|\delta V| \rightarrow 0$ the solution of the Fokker-Planck equation (4) is given by

$$P(\phi, t) \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} e^{-\frac{2\pi^2(\phi-\phi_0)^2}{H_0^3 t}}, \quad (12)$$

and we want to understand how the linear diffusion of the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ is affected by weak disorder $\delta V(\phi)$ in the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$.

For simplicity we take a gaussian distributed random potential with the correlation properties

$$\langle \delta V(\phi) \rangle = 0, \quad \langle \delta V(\phi) \delta V(\phi') \rangle = \Delta \delta(\phi - \phi') \quad (13)$$

where the averaging $\langle \dots \rangle$ is taken over the ensemble of potentials δV , or, what is equivalent, over intervals $(\phi, \phi + \delta\phi)$ of one particular realization of δV in this ensemble.³

To determine how weak disorder affects dynamics of $P(\phi, t)$, one can integrate it out in the “partition function” (9) and perform scaling analysis of the resulting effective action. One has

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int dt d\phi \left(\bar{P} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P - \frac{H_0^3}{8\pi^2} \bar{P} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} P - \right. \quad (14)$$

$$\left. - \frac{\Delta}{2} \int dt' \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left(P(\phi, t) \frac{\partial \bar{P}(\phi, t')}{\partial \phi} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \left(P(\phi, t') \frac{\partial \bar{P}(\phi, t)}{\partial \phi} \right) \right) \quad (15)$$

For qualitative understanding of physics it is actually sufficient to use simple scaling arguments: from the quadratic part of the effective action (14), by multiplying ϕ by a factor of l we immediately find that the time scale t scales as l^2 , the product

³Naively, it seems that the gaussian ensemble (13) is not well defined, because it contains configurations corresponding to negative values of the full potential $V(\phi)$. However, if one changes the correlation properties (13) accordingly to resolve this issue, one finds [8] that the change does not influence the long time behavior of the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ since it introduces irrelevant terms into effective action (11).

$\bar{P}P$ — as l^{-1} and the disorder strength Δ — as l^{-1} as well. Therefore, we conclude that weak disorder of the random potential type (13) is irrelevant for the long time behavior of $P(\phi, t)$ and does not influence the linear diffusion law $\langle \phi^2 \rangle \sim t$. The proper renormalization group analysis of the theory (14) confirms this conclusion [7, 8].

Since we are also interested to know the intermediate time scale behavior of the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$, we can try to figure out how important are the excited states $\psi_k(\phi)$, $k > 0$ present in the expansion (6) for the dynamics of $P(\phi, t)$. As it turns out [8, 11], for any given realization of the disorder all eigenstates are *localized* or peaked near the points $\phi = \phi_i$ corresponding to the deepest minima of the full potential $V(\phi)$. The width of $\psi_k(\phi)$ near a localization center ϕ_i behaves as

$$\delta\psi_k \sim E_k^{-1} \sim k^{-2},$$

growing with decreasing k . Excited, localized, eigenstates dominate in the expansion (6) at small t , and one has

$$\langle (\phi - \phi_i)^2 \rangle \sim t^2, \quad (16)$$

so the diffusion is slowed down significantly. At later times, the regime of diffusion becomes linear, as we have found above.

Let us now turn to a somewhat different case of stochastic inflation in the presence of random force $-\frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}$, when the correlation properties of disorder are

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi} \right\rangle = 0, \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}(\phi) \frac{\partial V}{\partial \phi}(\phi') \right\rangle = \sigma \delta(\phi - \phi'). \quad (17)$$

After integrating disorder out we find the following effective action

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int dt d\phi \left(\bar{P} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} P - \frac{H_0^3}{8\pi^2} \bar{P} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} P - \right. \quad (18)$$

$$\left. - \frac{\sigma}{2} \int dt' P(\phi, t) \frac{\partial \bar{P}(\phi, t)}{\partial \phi} P(\phi, t') \frac{\partial \bar{P}(\phi, t')}{\partial \phi} \right). \quad (19)$$

Simple scaling analysis leads now to the conclusion that σ scales as l , so that the disorder (17) is important for the long time dynamics of the probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$. More accurate renormalization group analysis shows that the RG fixed point $\sigma = \sigma_* \neq 0$ exists [7, 8, 12]. The latter determines dynamics of $P(\phi, t)$ at $t \rightarrow \infty$, and the diffusion process is dramatically slowed down — instead of the linear diffusion law $\langle \phi^2 \rangle \sim t$ one has weak logarithmic behavior

$$\langle \phi^2 \rangle \sim \log^4 t. \quad (20)$$

Similar effect is present on the landscape of dS vacua with disorder [8], where “old” inflation becomes eternal due to the possibility of multiple consequent Coleman-de Luccia tunnelings between different de Sitter vacua. Dynamics of the probability

$P_i(t)$ for an observer to find herself in a bubble of dS vacuum with the cosmological constant Λ_i is described by the vacuum dynamics equations [13]

$$\frac{dP_i}{d\tau} = \sum_j (\Gamma_{ji}P_j - \Gamma_{ij}P_i) = \sum_j H_{ij}P_j, \quad (21)$$

where Γ_{ij} are Coleman-de Luccia tunneling rates (inverse characteristic times of transition) between de Sitter vacua i and j . Technically, equations (21) represent the discretized version of the multifield Fokker-Planck equation (4). Not surprisingly, it turns out that the case of weakly disordered tunneling rates [8]

$$\Gamma_{ij} = \bar{\Gamma}\delta_{ij} + \delta\Gamma_{ij} \quad (22)$$

is reduced to the two types of disorder (random potential and random force) discussed above. The effects of disorder are also similar: when the number of vacua adjacent to any given one is equal to 2, the diffusion of the probability distribution $P_i(t)$ is suppressed as

$$\langle n^2(t) \rangle \sim \log^4 t \quad (23)$$

at $t \rightarrow \infty$ (here n is the index numerating dS vacua on the landscape).

Let me now discuss how RG analysis of the effective action (11) may help to resolve the gauge invariance problem for eternal inflation. The probability distribution $P(\phi, t)$ governed by the Eq. (4) does not take exponentially inflating 3-dimensional volumes of Hubble patches into account. The reason for that is the effective coarse graining [2] at scale $l \sim H^{-1}$ performed during the derivation of the Fokker-Planck equation (4). Usually, the effect of volume growth is taken into account [14] (see also [15] for recent developments) by adding the volume weighting term to the r.h.s. of the Fokker-Planck equation:

$$\partial_t P_V = \partial_\phi \left(\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)} P_V \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_\phi (H^{3/2}(\phi) \partial_\phi H^{3/2}(\phi) P_V) + 3H(\phi) P_V \quad (24)$$

Since H is positively defined, patches with larger 3-dimensional volume and higher H are clearly dynamically favored, which leads to many technical and conceptual problems associated with the measure $P_V(\phi, t)$. Probably, the most widely discussed one among them is the *gauge invariance problem* [10] — the probability P_V and associated correlation functions of physical observables are exponentially sensitive to the choice of time reparametrization ⁴

$$dt = f(\tau) d\tau. \quad (25)$$

Another important problem, directly related to the previous one is very strong dependence of the probability distribution P_V on initial conditions for eternal inflation, as

⁴One may even require stronger invariance of P_V w.r.t. reparametrizations $dt = f(\tau, \phi) d\tau$.

can be transparently seen for probability measures with effective volume cutoff [16]. Before I proceed to the discussion of RG analysis and RG fixed points of the effective action (11), a couple of remarks has to be made.

First of all, one may observe that there exists a problem with Eq. (24) even more serious than the problem of gauge invariance — the probability flow described by the modified Fokker-Planck Eq. (24) is *non-unitary*, i.e., the total probability $\int d\phi P(\phi, t)$ is not conserved. It was understood long time ago [3] how write the Fokker-Planck equation for the *normalizable probability* — a non-local term proportional to $\langle H \rangle$ has to be added to the r.h.s. of the Eq. (24):

$$\partial_t P(\phi, t) = \partial_\phi \left(\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)} P(\phi, t) \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_\phi (H^{3/2}(\phi) \partial_\phi H^{3/2}(\phi) P(\phi, t)) + \quad (26)$$

$$+ 3P(\phi, t)H(\phi) - 3P(\phi, t) \int d\phi' H(\phi') P(\phi') \quad (27)$$

Dynamics of P described by the Eq. (26) is much healthier than the dynamics of P_V . In particular, the exponential sensitivity of the probability measure P to the time reparametrizations (25) is lost, although the issue of gauge invariance is of course not yet resolved completely.

Second, one actually has to ask herself — is it true that correlation functions of *any* physical observable should be invariant w.r.t. the reparametrizations (25)? The answer to this question is certainly negative, and to illustrate this, the following counter-example can be presented. Instead of calculating correlation functions of the inflaton we can calculate correlation functions of the world time $t = t(\phi)$ or the number of efoldings $N(\phi) = \log a = \int^t H dt'$. The world time and the number of efoldings are two different quantities, related to physically different observables: t is essentially the quantum phase of a heavy ($m \gg H$) particle living in a given Hubble patch, while $N(\phi)$ is the phase of a light ($m \ll H$) particle. Clearly, the correlation functions of these two observables should behave differently, and both observables are not invariant w.r.t. the transformations (25).

With these remarks in mind, let us still try to find whether solutions of the Eq. (26) exist which weakly depend on initial conditions and are invariant w.r.t. the transformations (25). First of all, we note that volume weighting term $3HP$ and the non-local term $-3\langle H \rangle P$ cancel each other if time is measured in units of local Hubble parameter H , and one has

$$\partial_{\log a} P = \partial_\phi \left(\frac{V'(\phi)}{3H(\phi)} P \right) + \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \partial_\phi (H^{3/2}(\phi) \partial_\phi H^{3/2}(\phi) P). \quad (28)$$

The general solution of this equation has the form similar to (6). In particular, at $\log a \rightarrow \infty$ it approaches the Hartle-Hawking asymptotics (8). Of course, the latter a) does not depend on initial conditions since it is the attractor for the ensemble of trajectories $P = P(\phi, t)$ and b) is invariant w.r.t. reparametrizations of t simply because the expression (8) is the function of the inflaton potential $V(\phi)$ only.

However, as was explained above, in at least two important classes of inflationary models this asymptotics is never reached because the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction is not normalizable: (a) infinite landscape of vacua a la condensed matter with effective potential/Hawking-Moss/Coleman-de Luccia tunneling rates not growing at infinity, when transport properties of the probability flow P become of especial importance, and (b) models of chaotic inflation where the regime of deterministic slow roll acts as a sink for the probability flow (4) and inflation ends after slow roll conditions break down. Fortunately, analogues of the Hartle-Hawking asymptotics exist for both classes of models which have the properties of invariance w.r.t. reparametrizations of t and independence of initial conditions.

Let me start with the class of models (a), where the hint is provided by the dynamical renormalization group analysis of the effective action (11). As we have discussed, long time dynamics of the probability distribution $P(t)$ is determined by the trivial RG fixed point corresponding to the regime of linear diffusion $\langle\phi^2\rangle \sim t$ unless non-trivial RG fixed points for the effective action (11) exist. These RG fixed points correspond to attractors for the ensemble of trajectories $P = P(t)$. Once the probability distribution reaches such attractor, memory about initial conditions is lost.⁵ On the other hand, $P(t)$ is invariant w.r.t. time reparametrizations (25) due to the conformal invariance of the correlation functions at the RG fixed point. I have presented above one such example of the inflationary theory with dynamical RG fixed point: inflation on the low-dimensional landscape with disorder, when the behavior of the correlation function $\langle\phi^2\rangle$ is logarithmic.⁶

Non-trivial stationary solutions of the Fokker-Planck equation also exist for chaotic inflationary models (class (b) above) [2]. To find them, one needs to rewrite the Fokker-Planck equation (28) in the form

$$\frac{\partial P}{\partial \log a} = -\frac{\partial j}{\partial \phi}, \quad j = -\frac{1}{3\pi M_P^2} \partial_\phi(VP) - \frac{M_P^2}{8\pi} \frac{V'}{V} P. \quad (29)$$

Instead of the Hartle-Hawking wavefunction (8) the time independent asymptotics of the general solution of the Eq. (29) is given by the solution with $j = \text{Const}$. Such

⁵This is not so for the trivial RG fixed point corresponding to the linear diffusion law $\langle\phi^2\rangle \sim t$ — the gaussian distribution (12) is always peaked at the same point where the initial distribution is peaked.

⁶I would also like to mention another well known example of the theory with the dynamical RG fixed point, which perfectly illustrates why the analysis of RG fixed points is important — the theory of Kolmogorov's turbulence. The MSR effective action for the Navier-Stokes (or Euler) equation in the presence of stochastic noise has always at least two RG fixed points. One of them corresponds to the ultimate equilibrium, $v = 0$ and is trivial, while another one is not — it corresponds to the Kolmogorov's cascade (of decaying turbulence). The latter is the stationary flow of energy from larger scales towards smaller scales. In the regime of cascade, correlation functions of observables (such as velocity of the fluid v) are scale invariant with non-trivial scaling properties, and any information about initial conditions for these correlation functions is lost as long as the regime of cascade is reached, since Kolmogorov cascade is the attractor.

solutions describe constant flow of probability towards the regime where inflation becomes deterministic (i.e., when the condition (3) breaks down) and ends afterwards. They also have properties similar to the Hartle-Hawking asymptotics (8) — they are 1) dynamical attractors and therefore do not carry information about initial conditions and 2) transparently invariant w.r.t. the time reparametrizations (25).

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank A. Starobinsky for numerous discussions and explanations. This work was partially supported by the EU 6th Framework Marie Curie Research and Training network “UniverseNet” (MRTN-CT-2006-035863), RFBR grant 08-02-00923 and “Leading Scientific Schools grant” of RAS 4899.2008.2.

References

- [1] A. D. Linde, “Eternally Existing Selfreproducing Chaotic Inflationary Universe,” *Phys. Lett. B* **175** (1986) 395;
 A. D. Linde, “Eternal Chaotic Inflation,” *Mod. Phys. Lett. A* **1** (1986) 81;
 A. D. Linde, “*Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology*,” Chur, Switzerland: Harwood (1990) [arXiv:hep-th/0503203].
- [2] A. A. Starobinsky, “Stochastic De Sitter (inflationary) stage in the early Universe,” in *Field Theory, Quantum Gravity and Strings*, eds. H.J. de Vega and N. Sanchez, *Lecture Notes in Physics* **246**, pp. 107-126, Springer Verlag, 1986;
 Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, “Stochastic Approach To Chaotic Inflation And The Distribution Of Universes,” *Phys. Lett. B* **219** (1989) 240;
 A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, “Equilibrium state of a selfinteracting scalar field in the De Sitter background,” *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 6357 [arXiv:astro-ph/9407016];
 S. J. Rey, “Dynamics of inflationary phase transition,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **284** (1987) 706;
 D. S. Salopek and J. R. Bond, “Stochastic inflation and nonlinear gravity,” *Phys. Rev. D* **43** (1991) 1005;
 D. I. Podolsky and A. A. Starobinsky, “Chaotic reheating,” *Grav. Cosmol. Suppl.* **8N1** (2002) 13 [arXiv:astro-ph/0204327];
 A. J. Tolley and M. Wyman, “Stochastic Inflation Revisited: Non-Slow Roll Statistics and DBI Inflation,” *JCAP* **0804** (2008) 028 [arXiv:0801.1854 [hep-th]];

- F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, A. A. Starobinsky, G. P. Vacca and G. Venturi, “Generation of fluctuations during inflation: comparison of stochastic and field-theoretic approaches,” arXiv:0808.1786 [hep-th].
- [3] K. i. Nakao, Y. Nambu and M. Sasaki, “Stochastic dynamics of new inflation,” Prog. Theor. Phys. **80** (1988) 1041.
- [4] K. Enqvist, S. Nurmi, D. Podolsky and G. I. Rigopoulos, “On the divergences of inflationary superhorizon perturbations,” JCAP **0804** (2008) 025 [arXiv:0802.0395 [astro-ph]].
- [5] J. B. Hartle and S. W. Hawking, “Wave Function Of The Universe,” Phys. Rev. D **28** (1983) 2960.
- [6] A. D. Linde, “Quantum creation of an inflationary universe,” Sov. Phys. JETP **60** (1984) 211 [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **87** (1984) 369];
A. D. Linde, “Quantum Creation Of The Inflationary Universe,” Lett. Nuovo Cim. **39** (1984) 401;
A. Vilenkin, “Quantum Creation Of Universes,” Phys. Rev. D **30** (1984) 509;
A. Vilenkin, “Boundary Conditions In Quantum Cosmology,” Phys. Rev. D **33** (1986) 3560.
- [7] D. Podolsky and K. Enqvist, “Eternal inflation and localization on the landscape,” arXiv:0704.0144 [hep-th].
- [8] D. I. Podolsky, J. Majumder and N. Jokela, “Disorder on the landscape,” JCAP **0805** (2008) 024 [arXiv:0804.2263 [hep-th]].
- [9] A. Kamenev, “Many-body theory of non-equilibrium systems,” arXiv:cond-mat/0412296;
A. Kamenev, “Keldysh and Doi-Peliti Techniques for out-of-Equilibrium Systems,” arXiv:cond-mat/0109316;
L.P. Kadanoff and G. Baym, *Quantum statistical mechanics*, W.A. Benjamin, New York, 1962.
- [10] A. D. Linde, D. A. Linde and A. Mezhlumian, “From the Big Bang theory to the theory of a stationary universe,” Phys. Rev. D **49** (1994) 1783 [arXiv:gr-qc/9306035];
J. Garcia-Bellido and A. D. Linde, “Stationarity of inflation and predictions of quantum cosmology,” Phys. Rev. D **51** (1995) 429 [arXiv:hep-th/9408023];
A. Vilenkin, “Making predictions in eternally inflating universe,” Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 3365 [arXiv:gr-qc/9505031];
A. H. Guth, “Inflation and eternal inflation,” Phys. Rept. **333** (2000) 555 [arXiv:astro-ph/0002156].

- M. Tegmark, “What does inflation really predict?,” JCAP **0504** (2005) 001 [arXiv:astro-ph/0410281].
- [11] Sajeed John, H. Sompolinsky and Michael J. Stephen, “Localization in a disordered elastic medium near two dimensions,” Phys. Rev. B **27**, 5592 (1983).
- [12] Ia.G. Sinai, “Lorentz gas and random walks,” in *Proceedings of the Berlin Conference in Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics*, eds. R. Schrader, R. Seiler, and D.A. Ohlenbrock, Springer, Berlin, 1982, p. 12.
- [13] J. Garriga and A. Vilenkin, “Recycling universe,” Phys. Rev. D **57** (1998) 2230 [arXiv:astro-ph/9707292].
- [14] A. S. Goncharov, A. D. Linde and V. F. Mukhanov, “The Global Structure Of The Inflationary Universe,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **2** (1987) 561;
M. Mijic, “Random walk after the Big Bang,” Phys. Rev. D **42** (1990) 2469.
- [15] A. Aguirre, S. Gratton and M. C. Johnson, “Hurdles for recent measures in eternal inflation,” Phys. Rev. D **75** (2007) 123501 [arXiv:hep-th/0611221];
S. Winitzki, “Predictions in eternal inflation,” Lect. Notes Phys. **738** (2008) 157 [arXiv:gr-qc/0612164];
A. Linde, “Towards a gauge invariant volume-weighted probability measure for eternal inflation,” JCAP **0706** (2007) 017 [arXiv:0705.1160 [hep-th]];
S. Winitzki, “A volume-weighted measure for eternal inflation,” Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 043501 [arXiv:0803.1300 [gr-qc]].
- [16] J. Garriga, D. Schwartz-Perlov, A. Vilenkin and S. Winitzki, “Probabilities in the inflationary multiverse,” JCAP **0601** (2006) 017 [arXiv:hep-th/0509184];
R. Easther, E. A. Lim and M. R. Martin, “Counting pockets with world lines in eternal inflation,” JCAP **0603** (2006) 016 [arXiv:astro-ph/0511233];
A. Vilenkin, “A measure of the multiverse,” J. Phys. A **40** (2007) 6777 [arXiv:hep-th/0609193].